

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,678	03/18/2004	Stephen Ray Wurzburger	RSSW17	7320
7590 01/30/2008 Robert Samuel Smith			EXAMINER	
1263 Emory St San Jose, CA 95126			PHASGE, ARUN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/803,678	WURZBURGER, STEPHEN RAY	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Arun S. Phasge	1795	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOD FOR REPERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state pely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) [	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10. This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 3-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination on Examination on Examination is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to an examination of the drawing of the examination of t	drawn from consideration.  I/or election requirement.  I/or election requirement.  I/or election requirement.  I/or election requirement.  I/or election required in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
,—	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1795

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 3-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Three out of Four conditions said to be critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The conditions necessary for performing the process most efficiently are not claimed, including the use of pure water, the acid is very pure (only sulfuric acid is disclosed, however, the claims are broader and unclear whether the same results would be obtained by the use of another acid) and the acid/water mixture must be agitated during dissociation of the acid.

In Claim 12, wouldn't the solubility of the calcium compound decrease by the chilling, since the specification discloses the chilling of the oxidized calcium mixture (see page 21)? Isn't the addition of the surfactant essential (to be in claim 3), since the specification discloses such an addition and not as a option.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said polyprotic acid" in step (ii). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

10/803,678

Art Unit: 1795

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-2 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10/26/07.

Applicant's election with traverse of the product and different method in the reply filed on 10/26/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no other way of forming the claimed compound. This is not found persuasive because the prior art cited in the specification discloses that the compound would be formed and would have be short lived.

The other method is different and not merely a variation, because the preamble states that one is the complete dissociation of polyprotic acid in water and the other is the formation of a different compound. It is unclear how these two different objectives can be said to variations, merely because some of the steps may overlap.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

10/803,678

Art Unit: 1795

#### Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/803,678

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

asp